

ON THE STABILITY OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF  
NONAUTONOMOUS QUASILINEAR SYSTEMS  
WITH ONE DEGREE OF FREEDOM

(OB USTOICHIVOSTI PERIODICHESKIKH RESHENII  
NEAVTONOMNYKH KVAZILINEINYKH SISTEM S  
ODNOI STEPEN' IU SVOBODY)

*PMM Vol.27, No.1, 1963, pp. 168-170*

G.V. PLOTNIKOVA  
(Moscow)

*(Received October 23, 1962)*

The stability of periodic solutions for the case of double roots of the equation of fundamental amplitudes is investigated in this article.

The nonautonomous quasilinear system

$$\ddot{x} + m^2x = f(t) + \mu F(t, x, \dot{x}, \mu) \quad (1)$$

is considered.

Here  $\mu$  is a small positive parameter;  $f$  is a continuous periodic function of time  $t$  with period  $2\pi$ . It is assumed that the Fourier expansion of  $f$  does not contain harmonics of order  $m$  ( $m$  is an integer).  $F$  is an analytic function of the variables  $x$ ,  $\dot{x}$ ,  $\mu$ , and it is periodic, of period  $2\pi$ , in  $t$ . The resulting solution

$$x_0(t) = A_0 \cos mt + \frac{B_0}{m} \sin mt + \varphi(t)$$

depends on two arbitrary constants  $A_0$  and  $B_0$ ; the function  $\varphi(t)$  describes the forced oscillation of the generating system ( $\mu = 0$ ).

The periodic solution of period  $2\pi$  of equation (1), which transforms into the solution  $x_0(t)$  when  $\mu = 0$ , is found by the method of Poincaré [1-3]. The initial conditions used are

$$x(0) = x_0(0) + \beta_1, \quad \dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}_0(0) + \beta_2$$

Here  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are functions of  $\mu$ , which vanish when  $\mu = 0$ .

The amplitudes  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are determined by means of the equations for the fundamental amplitudes

$$C_1(2\pi) = -\frac{1}{m} \int_0^{2\pi} F(t, x_0, \dot{x}_0, 0) \sin mtdt = 0 \quad \dot{C}_1(2\pi) = \int_0^{2\pi} F(t, x_0, \dot{x}_0, 0) \cos mtdt = 0 \quad (2)$$

If the equations (2) have double roots, the following relations hold

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial A_0} & \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial B_0} \\ \frac{\partial \dot{C}_1}{\partial A_0} & \frac{\partial \dot{C}_1}{\partial B_0} \end{vmatrix} = 0, \quad \Delta^* = \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial B_0} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial A_0} & \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial B_0} \\ \frac{\partial \dot{C}_1}{\partial A_0} & \frac{\partial \dot{C}_1}{\partial B_0} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0 \quad (3)$$

Here, all the derivatives are taken when  $t = 2\pi$ . Under the conditions (3), to the double root  $A_0, B_0$  of (2) there correspond two solutions of equation (1), which were constructed in [3]

$$x^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n/2}^{(k)}(t) \mu^{n/2} \quad (k = 1, 2) \quad (4)$$

where the  $x_{n/2}^{(k)}(t)$  are periodic functions of time. The expression (4) includes also the case when the solutions are expressed as series in integral powers of  $\mu$ .

Let us investigate the stability of the periodic solutions of (4). The equation of variations for equation (1) is

$$\ddot{y} + m^2 y - \mu \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \right)_k \dot{y} - \mu \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \right)_k y = 0 \quad (5)$$

The subscript  $k$  at the parentheses indicates that one must replace  $\dot{x}$  and  $x$  by  $\dot{x}^{(k)}(t)$  and  $x^{(k)}(t)$  in the derivatives of the function  $F$ .

As is known (see, e.g. [1, p.163]), the functions

$$y_1^{(k)}(t) = \frac{\partial x^{(k)}(t)}{\partial A_0}, \quad y_2^{(k)}(t) = \frac{\partial x^{(k)}(t)}{\partial B_0}$$

form a fundamental system of the equation (5) with the boundary conditions

$$y_1^{(k)}(0) = 1, \quad \dot{y}_1^{(k)}(0) = 0, \quad y_2^{(k)}(0) = 0, \quad \dot{y}_2^{(k)}(0) = 1$$

In order that the periodic solutions of equation (1) may be asymptotically stable it is necessary and sufficient that the next two inequalities [1, pp.67-73] be satisfied

$$y_1^{(k)}(2\pi) \dot{y}_2^{(k)}(2\pi) - y_2^{(k)}(2\pi) \dot{y}_1^{(k)}(2\pi) - y_1^{(k)}(2\pi) - \dot{y}_2^{(k)}(2\pi) + 1 > 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial F(t, x_0, \dot{x}_0, 0)}{\partial x_0} dt < 0 \tag{7}$$

In accordance with (4)

$$y_1^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial x_{n/2}^{(k)}(t)}{\partial A_0} \mu^{n/2}, \quad y_2^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial x_{n/2}^{(k)}(t)}{\partial B_0} \mu^{n/2} \tag{8}$$

The condition (6) can be written in the form

$$L_2^{(k)}\mu^2 + L_{3/2}^{(k)}\mu^{5/2} + L_3^{(k)}\mu^3 + L_{7/2}^{(k)}\mu^{7/2} + \dots > 0 \tag{9}$$

where the  $L_{n/2}^{(k)}$  are expressed in terms of  $\partial x_{n/2}^{(k)}/\partial A_0$ ,  $\partial x_{n/2}^{(k)}/\partial B_0$ , and their derivatives with respect to time when  $t = 2\pi$ . Substituting the values of these expressions as given in [3], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_2^{(k)} &= \Delta, & L_{3/2}^{(k)} &= A_{1/2} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial A_0} + B_{1/2} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial B_0} \\ L_3^{(k)} &= A_1 \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial A_0} + B_1 \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial B_0} + \frac{1}{2} A_{1/2}^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Delta}{\partial A_0^2} + \frac{1}{2} B_{1/2}^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Delta}{\partial B_0^2} + A_{1/2} B_{1/2} \frac{\partial^2 \Delta}{\partial A_0 \partial B_0} - \left( \frac{\partial \Delta_1}{\partial A_0} + \frac{\partial \Delta_2}{\partial B_0} \right) \\ L_{7/2}^{(k)} &= A_{1/2} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial A_0} + B_{1/2} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial B_0} + A_{1/2} A_1 \frac{\partial^2 \Delta}{\partial A_0^2} + B_{1/2} B_1 \frac{\partial^2 \Delta}{\partial B_0^2} + (A_{1/2} B_1 + B_{1/2} A_1) \frac{\partial^2 \Delta}{\partial A_0 \partial B_0} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} A_{1/2}^3 \frac{\partial^3 \Delta}{\partial A_0^3} + \frac{1}{2} A_{1/2}^2 B_{1/2} \frac{\partial^3 \Delta}{\partial A_0^2 \partial B_0} + \frac{1}{2} A_{1/2} B_{1/2}^2 \frac{\partial^3 \Delta}{\partial A_0 \partial B_0^2} + \frac{1}{6} B_{1/2}^3 \frac{\partial^3 \Delta}{\partial B_0^3} - \\ &- A_{1/2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Delta_1}{\partial A_0^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Delta_2}{\partial A_0 \partial B_0} \right) - B_{1/2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Delta_1}{\partial A_0 \partial B_0} + \frac{\partial^2 \Delta_2}{\partial B_0^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here we have omitted the index  $k$  in  $A_{n/2}^{(k)}$ , and  $B_{n/2}^{(k)}$  for the sake of simplicity; besides we have used the notation

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial B_0} \dot{C}_2(2\pi) - \frac{\partial \dot{C}_1}{\partial B_0} C_2(2\pi), \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{\partial \dot{C}_1}{\partial A_0} C_2(2\pi) - \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial A_0} \dot{C}_2(2\pi)$$

The formulas for  $C_2(2\pi)$ ,  $\dot{C}_2(2\pi)$  are given in [2, p.853]. The quantities  $A_{n/2}$  and  $B_{n/2}$  are the coefficients in the expansion

$$\beta_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n/2}^{(k)} \mu^{n/2}, \quad \beta_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n/2}^{(k)} \mu^{n/2}.$$

The inequality (9) is satisfied for sufficiently small  $\mu$  if the coefficient of the highest order derivative is positive. In case of double roots of  $L_2^{(k)} = 0$ , one has to examine the sign of the first nonvanishing coefficient. Let us consider the most interesting case [3].

1. Suppose that  $\Delta_1 \neq 0$ . In view of the fact that  $\Delta = 0$ , we have

$\Delta_2 \neq 0$ . The periodic solutions of (1) are constructed in the form of series in powers of  $\mu^{1/2}$  (see [3, p.751]). For them

$$A_{1/2}^{(k)} = \pm \sqrt{E}, \quad B_{1/2}^{(k)} = \pm \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_1} \sqrt{E}, \quad E = \frac{2\Delta_1}{\Delta^*} \frac{\partial \dot{C}_1}{\partial B_0} \frac{\partial \dot{C}_1}{\partial B_0}. \quad (10)$$

Since we consider only real expansions of the solutions,  $E > 0$ . In the formulas (10) and in what follows, the upper sign goes with the solution  $k = 1$ , while the lower sign (the minus sign) goes with the solution when  $k = 2$ .

As has been shown earlier [3, p.750], it follows from the expression for  $\Delta^*$  that not a single one of the quantities  $\partial C_1 / \partial A_0$ ,  $\partial \dot{C}_1 / \partial A_0$ ,  $\partial C_1 / \partial B_0$ , and  $\partial \dot{C}_1 / \partial B_0$  can vanish. Hence

$$L_{5/2}^{(k)} = \pm \frac{2\Delta_1}{\sqrt{E}} \neq 0$$

and the sign in front of  $\Delta_1$  solves the problem on the stability under the fulfillment of the condition (7). If  $\Delta_1 > 0$ , then the solution for  $k = 1$  is stable, while the solution for  $k = 2$  is unstable. Conversely, if  $\Delta_1 < 0$  the solution for  $k = 1$  is unstable, while the solution for  $k = 2$  is stable.

2. Suppose that  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ . Let us assume also that the real roots  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  of the equation

$$s = N_{02}a^2 + N_{11}a + N_{20} = 0 \quad (11)$$

are simple, i.e.  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , and, hence

$$2N_{02}a_k + N_{11} \neq 0. \quad (12)$$

In the formulas (11) and (12) the quantities  $N_{02}$ ,  $N_{11}$  and  $N_{20}$  are computed with the aid of the formulas (2.7) of the work [3]. For example

$$N_{02} = -\frac{\Delta^*}{2} \left( \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial B_0} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{\partial \dot{C}_1}{\partial B_0} \right)^{-1} \neq 0.$$

In this case  $L_{5/2}^{(k)} = 0$ , and the periodic solutions (4) are constructed in the form of series in integral powers of  $\mu$ . Hereby

$$L_a^{(k)} = -\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial B_0} (2N_{02}a_k + N_{11}) \neq 0$$

in view of (12). For stability it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial B_0} (2N_{02}a_k + N_{11}) < 0 \quad (13)$$

Only one of the periodic solutions (4) is stable. Indeed, the left part of condition (12) characterizes the angular coefficient (slope) of the tangent to the parabola (11) at the points  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  where the parabola intersects the axis of the abscissas. If the slope is negative at the point  $a_1$ , then it will be positive at  $a_2$ , and conversely. Hence, the condition (13) can be fulfilled at only one of the two points  $a_k$ .

3. Suppose that the roots of the equation (11) are multiple roots and that the quantity  $K \neq 0$  (see [3, p.752]). Obviously,  $L_3^{(k)} = 0$ . Both periodic solutions can be expressed as series in powers of  $\mu^{1/2}$ . Hereby

$$L_{3/2}^{(k)} = \mp 2N_{02} \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial B_0} \sqrt{-\frac{K}{N_{02}}}$$

If  $N_{02} \partial C_1 / \partial B_0 < 0$  then the solution for  $k = 1$  is stable, while the solution for  $k = 2$  is unstable. Conversely, if  $N_{02} \partial C_1 / \partial B_0 > 0$  the solution for  $k = 2$  is stable, while that for  $k = 1$  is unstable.

In the considered cases the condition (6), or the condition (9), is always satisfied for one of the two periodic solutions (4). For other cases, the analysis of the condition (6) is analogous to the preceding one. Besides the condition (6), it is necessary and sufficient for the stability of the solutions (4) that the condition (7) be fulfilled, which can be put into the form

$$\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial A_0} + \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial B_0} < 0 \quad (14)$$

Thus, if condition (14) holds, then of the two periodic solutions (4) of equation (1), which correspond to double roots of the equations of the fundamental amplitudes, one and only one will be stable.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Malkin, I.G., *Nekotorye zadachi teorii nelineinykh kolebaniy* (Some Problems in the Theory of Nonlinear Oscillations). Gostekhizdat, 1956.
2. Proskuriakov, A.P., *Kolebaniia kvazilineinykh neavtonomnykh sistem s odnoi stepen'iu svobody vblizi rezonansa* (Oscillations of quasilinear nonautonomous systems with one degree of freedom near resonance). *PMM* Vol. 23, No. 5, 1959.

3. Plotnikova, G.V., O postroenii periodicheskikh reshenii neavtonomnoi kvazilineinoi sistemy s odnoi stepen'iu svobody v sluchae dvukratnykh kornei uravnenii osnovnykh amplitud (On the construction of periodic solutions of a nonautonomous quasilinear system with one degree of freedom near resonance in the case of double roots of the equation of the fundamental amplitudes). *PMM* Vol. 26, No. 4, 1962.

*Translated by H.P.T.*